

**ACRL/NEC Board Meeting  
Minutes**

Monday, November 4, 2013 – 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.  
Bryant University, Management Resource Center, Room 3  
Smithfield, RI

**Present:**

Eleta Exline	President
Philip Waterman	Vice-President/President-Elect
Leslie Button	Past President
Karin Heffernan	Member-at-Large (2013-2015)
Carina Cournoyer	Member-at-Large (2012-2014)
Sarah Hutton (attended virtually)	Communications Committee, Co-Chair
Michael Cerbo	Constitution & Bylaws Committee, Co-Chair
Patrick Hartsfield (attended virtually)	Constitution & Bylaws Committee, Co-Chair
Deborah Mongeau	Leadership Development Committee, member
Peter Cochrane	Membership Committee, Co-Chair
Marianne Thibodeau (attended virtually)	Membership Committee, Co-Chair
Brain Gallagher	Nominations Committee, Chair
Nancy George	Scholarships & Awards Committee, Co-Chair
Laura Kohl	Scholarships & Awards Committee, Co-Chair
Gail Geisser	ASIG, Co-Chair
Martha Kelehan (attended virtually)	CDIG, Co-Chair
Galadriel Chilton	ERMIG, Co-Chair
Kim Maxwell	ERMIG, Co-Chair
Kieran Ayton	ITIG, Co-Chair
Erica Charis (attended virtually)	NELIG, Co-Chair
Hillary Corbett	SCIG, Co-Chair
Andree Rathemacher	SCIG, Vice Chair
Amy Robb (attended virtually)	WSIG, Co-Chair

**Absent:**

Melinda Malik	Treasurer
Kirsten Kay	Archivist
Tim Spindler	Communications, Co-Chair
Melissa Behney	Leadership Development, Co-Chair
Tracey Leger-Hornby	Leadership Development, Co-Chair
Susan Raidy-Klein	CDIG, Co-Chair
Veronica Kenausis	ITIG, Co-Chair
Rob Walsh	NELIG, Co-Chair
Dianna Magnoni	SCIG, Co-Chair
Nancy Dennis	WSIG Co-Chair

**Table of Contents**

Minutes	1-6
Electronic votes held since August 23 meeting	6
Board Reports	6-7
Committee Reports	7-10

**1. Introductions**

Phil Waterman called the meeting to order at 9:45 AM. Eleta was delayed in traffic en route to the meeting. Introductions were made by all.

**2. Acceptance of August 23 minutes**

The minutes from the August 23, 2013 Board meeting were accepted unanimously.

**3. Using WebEx**

We have licensed the WebEx meeting software for virtual attendance at meetings and events. If you are planning to use it for your committee or SIG please run it by Eleta in case there are two groups wanting to use it at the same time. Phil tested it recently with the Conference Planning Committee and it worked well. You can get the username and password from Eleta. Eleta will keep track of requests.

**4. Annual Conference Update (Phil Waterman)**

The Conference Planning Committee recently held its second meeting. The title of the conference is: "We're All in This Together: Strengthening Librarians Through Professional Development." The committee has put together a short list of possibilities for the keynote speaker and will be contacting them soon regarding their availability. Several have published books on professional development.

A request for proposals will be sent out soon. The conference will be held again at College of the Holy Cross. Five of the fourteen vendor slots have already been filled. We will be using Eventbrite for registrations. The Conference Committee and Communications Committee are putting together the conference website. Last year there were sound problems with the virtual conference for virtual attendees. The committee will be looking to outsource the virtual conference media this year. It costs from \$2,000-\$4,000 to broadcast the keynote speaker and sessions. The committee will decide if it is feasible. Members from Maine and Vermont would like us to continue the virtual conference. We may be able to offset costs with revenue from the vendors. We have increased the price for vendor tables. Thomson Reuters will be supporting lunch again this year. Last year there were too many tables for the space. We will cut back to smaller and fewer tables this year. Gold level vendors will have the option to pick their tables.

**5. CDIG Budget**

Martha Kelehan had previously sent the budget for the CDIG's December program: "Creating Community with Digital Collections: a Conversation about Curation and the Public Good." Leslie made a **Motion** to approve the budget. Mike seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

**6. Candidates for Chapter Office: proposed revision to the manual (Brian Gallagher)**

Brian Gallagher, Chair of the Nominating Committee, gave a brief report of the status of nominations. The committee has identified two candidates for Secretary and two for Member-at-Large. No Treasurer-Elect has been found yet. If you know of anyone interested, send the name to Brian. Eleta asked what are some of the concerns? Brian suggested some might be afraid of the Quicken software. He would also like to identify a second Vice President/President Elect. He has approached four people for this position. Some are wary of doing conference planning.

Brian proposed the following changes to the manual:

1. Regarding specific duties of the Nominating Committee Chair: replace the text of number 4 (IV:2) with the following proposed text.

**4. Receive from each candidate by January 1st the following material:**

- *A candidate statement confirming his/her willingness to participate in the election and how he/she would serve the Chapter while in office*
- *The completed candidate information form (available on the Chapter's Web-site).*
- *Optional: A head shot for the Chapter's Web-site*

2. Forms – remove "Biographical Information" form (VII:6) and create an online form for candidate information.

**Motion:** Nancy moved to approve the proposed changes to the manual. Karin seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Brian thanked the committee—Leslie Button, Nancy George and Diane Klare. Brian will forward the text to Mike for changes to the manual.

**7. Dues for ACRL National Members (Peter Cochrane)**

Peter asked whether we should charge our ACRL national members additional chapter dues. He is investigating what other chapters charge. We are reimbursed only \$1 from ALA for each national member. Andree asked whether it would make more sense to raise fees for programming. Eleta says the current membership dues structure allows members to pre-pay for the annual conference because members get a discounted conference rate. Conference attendee lists could be sent to the Membership Committee to determine who are local vs. national members. Any changes to the dues structure would not apply to this year but would need to go to the August Board meeting with the new Board. Some of our members don't know chapter membership is included with national membership. Additional dues would be adding a rate to national membership and we have already locked in our rates for this year. Peter used New York as an example as they are the closest in comparison to New England with 900 national members and 164 local. Leslie says we need to target non-members of either group. Could we raise the dues of local members instead of

national? Why should we punish national members when national is giving us such a poor reimbursement? Karin—we should really be pressuring national to be more equitable, not punishing our members. Whether or not we collect dues from national members is a change to the by-laws and will require the vote of the membership. This could be added to the next election ballot, but more likely would be a special ballot at a later date. Peter said he will ask for member lists and registration lists from SIGs so that the Membership Committee can analyze the data over a period of time prior to making a decision on this issue.

It would be better to ask more of ACRL national as a better relationship with them is desired. Nancy says they put pressure on them in the past but need to continue to do so. There hasn't been enough change at national and it is all about their agenda. Leslie says there may be more of a willingness at Chapters Council to advocate for change. Brian says the form chapters must complete has gone awry. Karin asks if anyone has worked with the ACRL Board? Judy Simolis and Diane Klare both have. Could they help us? Leslie says the form was difficult but we had much to report on the good the chapter does. Eleta--we could craft a letter with a short list of demands. Brian says we should unite with other chapters; send the letter with others and invite other chapters to participate at the national conference. We could have a table at the conference to offer to join local chapters. Gail--could we also send a copy of the letter to ALA? Nancy suggested we find an ALA Board member to approach. Judy or Carol Terry might suggest someone. Eleta—we could we get a small group together to approach the other chapters. She suggested Brian, Leslie, Peter and Marianne. We should put off the letter until we have other signers.

Galadriel--Peter's idea to gather names from special interest groups is a good idea. We need to track SIG event registrations. The Membership committee can check whether registrants are members – for events in Eventbrite, we'll be able to download registration data directly. According to the manual SIGs are supposed to send their list of attendees to the Membership Committee, but this has not been done regularly in practice because we haven't made use of the data.. Peter gets a list in January of national members. SIGs should contact Melinda to set up programs in Eventbrite. Kim Maxwell--do we send a letter to the President of ACRL or Mary Ellen Davis, Executive Director? Send to elected members.

Eleta will send out a to-do list from this meeting, including requesting the SIGs send any relevant data to the Membership Committee.

## **8. Membership Year**

Peter is re-drafting the language in the bylaws concerning the membership year. He would like to consider changing from a calendar year to an academic year. Reasons to change include: it supports library school members, permits Membership Committee duties to be done in summer (a better time), helps to eliminate membership payments midyear. There may be financial implications so we will need Melinda's input. The membership year for ACRL national is rolling. Need to look at local revenue. How many SIGs charge a member rate? Gail says the member rate backfired for ASIG. They don't get a lot of ACRL members attending their programs. Hilary says they ask on their program

registration if the registrant is a chapter member. On average, about 80% are chapter members. A fiscal year vs. a calendar year was discussed previously. A fiscal year would be close to the academic year. There would probably be a problem to move to fiscal if we have to strictly adhere to a June 30 deadline for all financial transactions. If we move to an academic year we would have more time. Marianne says we might lose new library school students with fiscal year membership. It was agreed the calendar year is the worst of the three options. Nancy says we don't want to make anything more complicated for the Treasurer.

Peter--SIGs can promote their programs better with a fiscal year. Membership Committee needs to know ahead what programs SIGs are promoting. Kim says if we switch we will need to extend memberships for six months or pro-rate them. This is complicated for the Treasurer. Not really a financial burden either way but more a psychological issue. We would need a big promotion: 18 months of membership for the price of 12. This might be a good time to raise dues. A show of hands was solicited as to whether we should change away from the calendar year to an academic year. A majority of hands were in support of the academic year. Starting in August may be better than September as it is too busy then.

Eleta – This change would also require a vote of the membership, so we should do our best to make a decision at the January meeting so that it can be included on the election ballot in early spring.

#### **9. Expansion of Member-at-Large (MAL) Positions**

Karin and Carina distributed a proposal to restructure the MAL positions. They asked the Board to consider changing from two MALs to six state representatives. Member-at-large duties as written in the manual are vague. The proposal has duties specifically outlined. Should these be appointed positions? It would be complicated to elect them because state reps should be elected by members from the states. Would require a change to the bylaws because we'd be subtracting two elected positions or adding four. It would expand how upper states are represented. Phil--what are the issues with the upper states? We are trying to increase membership in these states. Membership is largely driven by where library schools are. Peter--not just adding members but doing outreach where needed. This was brought up at the last two retreats.

Do we want six representatives? Would they be voting members? Elected or appointed? Karen suggested we start with appointees while we are working through the kinks. Hilary--is it appropriate for state reps to be voted on by membership at large? Might make us look exclusive to have appointees. Can we ask people to express interest/nominations? Best approach might be to start with appointed positions, then move to elected over time as roles are established.

**Motion** by Nancy and seconded by Betsy to accept the restructuring off MAL positions as proposed with all six positions appointed and voting members of the Board. Motion passed unanimously. Carina and Karin will recruit for the

positions. It will require a vote from the membership. Will vote on it next year and will take place the following with the new Board, June 2015. If it passes we can stagger the two remaining elected positions, replacing with appointees as they expire.

Karin will write more precise language for the upcoming ballot.

**10. Letter to ACRL National**

Tabled, pending further discussion. See item 7 for discussion.

**11. Boston Library Consortium (BLC) Collaboration**

Susan Stearns, new BLC director, spoke to Leslie and Eleta about collaborating with the chapter. She approached Hillary and Dee, as well, about doing something with scholarly communication. They asked her to consider BLC groups that have cross-over with our members. A conference proposal would be perfect, given the upcoming conference theme. Hillary said they would meet with her. The SCIG is currently doing a scholarly communication program in November. The BLC may be a little too eastern Mass.-centric. Would have to work on the public relations. It could be a model for collaboration in the future, e.g. collaborations with NERCOMP SIGs. The BLC is looking to reinvent itself as it is no longer a buying group. They probably do not have much funding but could help with finding speakers, etc. Eleta says if SIGs have programs in mind and would like to collaborate with the BLC let her know. BLC has salaried staff who may be able to help us. Their mailing list goes to all staff at BLC libraries.

**12. Update on Communications Committee/Website task force**

No update from Eleta. She will inform us later.

**13. Wrap up/call for January Agenda items**

No agenda items were suggested.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 PM.

**Electronic Voting Held between August 24, 2013 and November 3, 2013**

1. A motion was made by Leslie Button and seconded by Melinda Malik to approve the budget for the Leadership Development Committee's fall program, "Numbers and Spreadsheets and Budgets (Oh my!)." The motion was approved 18-0-0.
2. A motion was made by Leslie Button and seconded by Susan Raidy-Klein to subscribe to WebEx meeting software. The motion was approved 19-0-0.
3. A motion was made by Melinda Malik and seconded by Betsy Learned to approve the budget for the Scholarly Communication Interest Group fall program, "Altmetrics: Help Your Researchers Measure Their Full Impact." The motion was approved 20-0-0.

## **Board Reports:**

### **Treasurer's Report**

Melinda Malik

Attached you'll find the Q1 (July 1 - Sept 30) profit and loss statement and balance sheet. As discussed about a year ago, we transitioned from using Quickbooks desktop software to Quickbooks online, which has been very easy to use.

We set up the 2014 Annual Conference vendor payments to Eventbrite, which is currently live. During the next few months, Mike Cerbo will be evaluating it's effectiveness as a registration and payment system for vendors.

Peter Cochrane and Marianne Thibodeau will soon go live using Eventbrite to collect membership payments as well. They too will be evaluating its effectiveness as a system to collect membership fees.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully,  
Melinda Malik

## **Committee Reports:**

### **Communications Committee Report**

Sarah Hutton and Tim Spindler, Co-Chairs

Codey Kolasinski has updated some of the content including updating names on the committee, SIGs, and elected members lists. I have also run two backups on the servers. In these cases, I download a backup of the database and the files on personal computer. My personal computer is backed up on iDrive. I don't think this is a permanent solution but until we identify a better way to handle backups I will plan to run periodic backups. These are backups of all sites on bluehost.

Tim Spindler  
[tjspindler@gmail.com](mailto:tjspindler@gmail.com)

### **Conference Planning Committee Report**

Philip Waterman, Chair

The 2014 Conference Planning Committee held its second meeting on October 28<sup>th</sup> at Assumption College. The committee addressed the following items at the meeting:

- **Conference Title:** *We're All in This Together: Strengthening Librarians Through Professional Development*
- **Conference Theme:** *"The job of an academic librarian involves supporting students and faculty in their varied academic pursuits. Our focus is on their needs; but to be successful, we must also focus on our needs. We best serve*

*our constituents by being the best librarians we can be, but prioritizing and planning for our own professional development and growth is not always easy. How do we accomplish this?*

*Join us at the 2014 ACRL/NEC Annual Conference as we share ways to manage our professional development. Innovative presentations, workshops and panel discussions will cover such topics as, how to better connect library school students and new graduates with mentors in the profession; how to manage, communicate and adapt in a diverse work environment; how to become published; how to become library leaders.*

*Treat yourself to some quality “Me Time” on May 9, 2014 at the ACRL/NEC Annual Conference!”*

- **Keynote Speaker:** We discussed about a half dozen names of librarians who had experience in the area of professional development. After we complete some additional research on one of the names, I will contact those on the short list to book one.
- **Programming**
  - **Request for Presentations:** Will be drafted and sent out on Nov. 1<sup>st</sup> with a deadline of Dec 1<sup>st</sup> for submissions.
- **Site Planning**
  - **Menu:** Same as last year. Slight increase in price for some items.
- **Volunteer Coordination & Host Relations**
  - Peter Cochrane will coordinate the Student Partnering Program
  - Jill Livingston will contact the SIGs to discuss how they would like to promote themselves at the conference
- **Vendor Relations**
  - Mike Cerbo and Scott Stangroom report that we have two gold sponsors, one silver sponsor, two bronze sponsors and a lunch sponsor committed. Vendors have been using EventBrite to register and we haven't received any reports of problems. Thanks to Melinda Malik for setting up these accounts.
- **Communications & Publicity**
  - Carol Will has been building the conference website with assistance from Sarah Hutton. We hope to have the site live soon.
  - The subcommittee will draft and send out a “Save the Date” email in the next week.
- **Registration**
  - We will once again use EventBrite for registration. It worked well for us last year.
- **Virtual Conference**
  - Barrie Mooney has been investigating private companies to host the virtual conference for us. Hosting the VC internally continues to have conference-day problems that diminish the quality of the conference for the virtual attendees. Holy Cross does not provide such a service. A final price quote has not been received, but is likely to be \$2,000-\$4,000 for broadcasting the keynote speaker and three pairs of breakout sessions live to ME and VT. Once a final price quote is received, the committee will decide whether it can afford it.
- The next meeting will be scheduled for December.



### **Leadership Development Committee Report**

Melissa Behney and Tracey Leger-Hornby, Co-Chairs

The LDC fall workshop on planning and budgeting originally scheduled for October 31 has been rescheduled to Friday, March 7th. Registration numbers were small and committee members received feedback that the date simply did not work for many potential attendees.

A planning meeting will be scheduled later in November or early December to begin planning for the spring meeting. The committee will reach out to the annual conference program committee about submitting a proposal. We will also consider options for bringing a facilitator in to provide a full day workshop, and the possibility of combining this offering with one for the ACRL/NEC Board.

Past President Leslie Button has graciously agreed to join the Leadership Development Committee as has past Treasurer Diane Klare. Many thanks to both Leslie and Diane for their leadership and participation.

Respectfully submitted,  
Melissa Behney, co-chair

### **Membership Committee Report**

Peter Cochrane and Marianne Thibodeau, Co-Chairs

UPDATES:

#### **Local Membership:**

- As of 11/1/13 – there are **164** paid local members.
- Since our last report, **eight** additional membership payments were received
  - **Six** new members
    - Includes switch in membership types from national to local
  - **Two** renewing members

#### **Changes to Membership Web pages: (Week of 11/4)**

- Removal of membership form
- Implementation of Eventbrite for membership registrations
  - Eventbrite URL: <https://2014acrlnecmembership12.eventbrite.com>
    - Includes new membership types
      - Library Paraprofessional - \$10.00
      - Retiree - \$10.00

UPCOMING PROJECTS:

1. November Membership Dues Drive for 2014 Member Year
  - Target Date to distribute call to renew - Wednesday, November 6<sup>th</sup>

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Cochrane & Marianne Thibodeau  
Membership Committee Co-Chairs

### **Nominations Committee Report**

Brian Gallagher, Chair

We have:

- 1 candidate for Vice-President/President-Elect
- 0 candidates for Treasurer-Elect
- 1 candidate for Secretary (another one considering)
- 2 candidates for Member-at-Large

If you know of anyone who you think would be of great service to the Chapter as either Vice-President or Treasurer-Elect or Secretary - please, send me their names.

Besides myself, the committee consists of

1. Leslie Button
2. Nancy George
3. Diane Klare

### **Manual Revision**

I apologize for being unable to communicate with you all at our last meeting. Please indulge me in trying, once again, to revise the Chapter Manual in regards to what is needed from the candidates:

[thanks to Eleta for appreciated editorial assistance]

*Specific Duties of the Nominating Committee Chair - replace the text of number 4 (IV:2) with the proposed text.*

#### **4. Receive from each candidate by January 1st the following material:**

- *A candidate statement confirming his/her willingness to participate in the election and how he/she would serve the Chapter while in office.*
- *The completed candidate information form (available on the Chapter's Web-site).*
- *Optional: A head shot for the Chapter's Web-site*

*Forms – remove "Biographical Information" form (VII:6) and create an online form for candidate information.*

Thank you. See you all and hear you all on Monday, November 4, 2013.

Brian T. Gallagher

**Special Interest Group Reports:**

**Collection Development Interest Group**

Martha Kelehan and Susan Raidy-Klein, Co-Chairs

CDIG has been preparing for our upcoming December event.

Attached please find a copy of our budget for your review. Our event, "Creating Community with Digital Collections: A Conversation about Curation and the Public Good" will be at the Tufts campus in Medford/Somerville on Friday December 13th. The focus of discussion, "*Creating Community with Digital Collections: A Conversation about Curation and the Public Good*," will highlight two digital collections designed and created to engage community. The featured panelists are [Dan Cohen](#), Executive Director of the [Digital Public Library of America](#) and [Elizabeth Maddock Dillon](#), co-director of the NULab and co-founder, [Our Marathon Archive](#) at Northeastern University.

The goals of the program are to document the creation and ongoing work of two projects quite different in scope and aims and to illuminate the larger issues surrounding digital collections. The conversation will be facilitated by Michael Leach, Head of Collection Development, Cabot Science Library, Harvard University, and adjunct instructor of Collection Development at Simmons.

Registration details coming soon.

Please direct any questions to CDIG co-chairs, Martha Kelehan ([Martha.kelehan@tufts.edu](mailto:Martha.kelehan@tufts.edu)) and Susan Raidy-Klein ([sraidyklein@umassd.edu](mailto:sraidyklein@umassd.edu)).

Date(s):

Friday, December 13, 2013 - 9:00am to 12:00pm

Location:

Tufts University (Medford, MA)

Sincerely,

Martha Kelehan

**Electronic Resources Management Interest Group**

Galadriel Chilton and Kim Maxwell, Co-Chairs

Here's a quick update from Galadriel and me for ERMIG.

With great help from Tim Spindler (thanks again, Tim!) we've figured out how to update the website pages for our group. The bad links are gone, and we've reorganized things into what is hopefully a more user-friendly design. We're also reviewing past programs SERIG/ERMIG has held, with an eye towards planning an ERMIG program in the Spring.

See you on the 4<sup>th</sup>,

Kim Maxwell

### **Information Technology Interest Group**

Kieran Ayton and Veronica Kenausis, Co-Chairs

The Information Technology Interest Group (ITIG) held a virtual meeting on Monday, October 7, 2013. We discussed a number of agenda items for the coming year:

1. Veronica had inquired from Eleta about the feasibility of the SIGs using the WebEx subscription the ACRL NEC Board was looking into purchasing. Here is Eleta's response:

*"I think we can use the account for any purpose it will accommodate, including programs, as long as we don't schedule multiple events at the same time. The account will have a limit of 25 participants. My hope is that the SIGs will test it out for a variety of purposes and give the board feedback when it's time to renew next year. I haven't signed us up for the subscription yet, so don't yet have the login credentials, but I'll do it sometime this week. For now, I'll be the contact person, but I'll probably turn that responsibility over to someone else as we expand our Communications Committee membership."*

ITIG members expressed interest in exploring these possibilities. Specifically, since the limit is only 25 simultaneous users, we might use it to coordinate virtual roundtable discussions on a given topic - similar to a tiny unConference.

2. Next we went through the 2013 unConference survey results. The results were overwhelmingly positive. See some of the excerpts below:

#### **What 1-3 things worked really well and made DigiCamp worth attending?**

- *The networking at this event was superior to any other I had ever experienced.*
- *I loved that we had our own discussions, it was free form which was so new to me.*
- *I never got bored... which was unusual!*
- *Location. Time length of sessions and limiting to 1/2 day total.*
- *Meeting other librarians is always great discussing shared problems/ solutions getting new ideas.*
- *The unconference formula, while risky, does bring out some really interesting suggestions from the community, and feels more organic.*
- *Speeches were really short, which allowed for us to get right to business.*
- *Facilitators were well versed, animated and enthusiastic about their knowledge and abilities.*
- *The discussion among peers was the real top thing in my book.*

The overall opinion of the ITIG members was that based on the positive feedback, it would be a good idea to continue with the unConference model and plan one for the Spring of 2014.

3. For the Spring 2014 ITIG unConference, we will be doing the traditional morning breakout session structure and then an optional afternoon activity, such as the visit to a Makerspace. We are currently exploring location options in the Boston area.

4. The next ITIG virtual meeting will be held on Thursday, November 7, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

Kieran Ayton  
Emerging Technologies Librarian  
Rhode Island College

Veronica Kenausis  
Interim Director  
Western Connecticut State University

**New England Library Instruction Group**

Erica Charis and Rob Walsh, Co-Chairs

The New England Library Instruction group held its fall meeting Friday, September 27 at Trinity College in Hartford CT. The topic was "Playing Fair: Intellectual Property in the Age of Digital Research" and was addressed by a panel of three librarians: Arlene Bielefield (J.D., Associate Professor, Information & Library Science, Southern Connecticut State University), Martha Kelehan, (Social Sciences Bibliographer & Gifts Coordinator, Tisch Library, Tufts University), and Greg Wallace (Research & Instruction Librarian, MassArt Library). There were 28 people in attendance and the notes from the meeting have been posted to the NELIG website.

We are currently working on our winter programs scheduled for December 6, which will be a multi-site classroom share model (as has been done the previous few years). Confirmed locations are: Trinity College (CT), Wheelock College (MA), Southern New Hampshire University, St. George's School (RI), and Bates College (ME). We're working on recruiting presenters for the sites and may close certain sites if to few presenters volunteer for any given location.

Respectfully submitted,  
Erica Charis

**Scholarly Communication Interest Group**

Hillary Corbett and Dianna Magnoni, Co-Chairs  
Andree Rathemacher, Vice Chair

The Scholarly Communication Interest Group will be holding its fall program on Thursday, November 14, at Northeastern University. The topic is "Altmetrics: Help Your Researchers Measure Their Full Impact." Speakers include Heather Piwowar (ImpactStory), Andrea Michalek (Plum Analytics), Scott Lapinski (Countway Library, Harvard Medical School), Nettie Lagace (NISO), and a panel of local altmetrics users

with representatives from Wellesley, MBL/WHOI, and UMass Medical. We are on track to meet our goal of 55 registrants.

Respectfully submitted,  
Hillary Corbett

**Women's Studies Interest Group**

Nancy Dennis and Amy Robb, Co-Chairs

I apologize for the lateness in the submission of this report. We just finished a survey of WSIG members and are currently processing the results.

Respectfully submitted,  
Nancy Dennis  
Amy Robb

Respectfully submitted,  
Betsy Peck Learned  
ACRL/NEC Secretary 2012-2014